## $\alpha^{\prime}$-corrections to heterotic superstring effective action revisited
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## 1. Introduction

The possibility to compare calculations of the entropy of certain black holes by microscopic string methods and by direct methods of general relativity ${ }^{1}$ has caused renewed interest in the structure of higher derivative contributions to the string effective action. In this paper we clarify the relation between two formulations of the order $\alpha^{\prime}$ heterotic string effective action. One formulation follows from string amplitudes calculations [2, 5] and from the requirement of conformal symmetry of the corresponding sigma model to the appropriate order [3, [4], the other formulation [5, [6] is based on the supersymmetrisation of Lorentz-Chern-Simons (LCS) forms. Our interest in the relation between these results was triggered by a remark in a recent paper of Sahoo and Sen [7]. In that paper the entropy of a supersymmetric black hole was obtained using the method of [8] , with [3] for the derivative corrections to the action. The result was found to agree with that obtained by several other methods, which was taken by [7] as an indirect indication that the bosonic expression for the order $\alpha^{\prime}$ corrections given in [3] must be part of a supersymmetric invariant.

The result of [5] is supersymmetric to order $\alpha^{\prime}$, in [6] results to order $\alpha^{\prime 2}$ and $\alpha^{\prime 3}$ are obtained as well. We will show in this paper that to order $\alpha^{\prime}$ [5] agrees with (3), proving directly that the action of [3] is indeed part of a supersymmetric invariant. The field redefinitions required to establish this correspondence generate additional terms at higher orders in $\alpha^{\prime}$.

In section 2 we establish the equivalence between the two effective actions. The necessary background material and conventions can be found in the appendices. We discuss terms of order $\alpha^{\prime 2}$ and $\alpha^{\prime 3}$ in section 3. Conclusions are in section 4

[^0]
## 2. The heterotic string effective action

The heterotic string effective action to order $\alpha^{\prime}$, as given in [3], reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{MT}}=-\frac{2}{\kappa^{2}} e e^{-2 \Phi}\left(R(\Gamma)-\frac{1}{12} H_{\mu \nu \rho} H^{\mu \nu \rho}+4 \partial_{\mu} \Phi \partial^{\mu} \Phi\right.  \tag{2.1}\\
& +\frac{1}{8} \alpha^{\prime}\left\{R_{\mu \nu a b}(\Gamma) R^{\mu \nu a b}(\Gamma)-\frac{1}{2} R_{\mu \nu a b}(\Gamma) H^{\mu \nu c} H^{a b c}\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\frac{1}{8}\left(H^{2}\right)_{a b}\left(H^{2}\right)^{a b}+\frac{1}{24} H^{4}\right\}\right) . \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{gather*}
H_{\mu \nu \rho}=3 \partial_{[\mu} B_{\nu \rho]}, \\
H^{2}=H_{a b c} H^{a b c}, \quad\left(H^{2}\right)_{a b}=H_{a c d} H_{b}^{c d}, \quad H^{4}=H^{a b c} H_{a}^{d f} H_{b}^{e f} H_{c}^{d e}, \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

normalisations are as in [3].
On the other hand there is the result of supersymmetrising the LCS form of (5) [6]. The bosonic terms ${ }^{2}$ take on the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{BR}}=\frac{1}{2} e e^{-2 \Phi}\left[\left\{-R(\omega)-\frac{1}{12} \widetilde{H}_{\mu \nu \rho} \widetilde{H}^{\mu \nu \rho}+4 \partial_{\mu} \Phi \partial^{\mu} \Phi\right\}\right.  \tag{2.4}\\
&\left.-\frac{1}{2} \alpha R_{\mu \nu a b}\left(\Omega_{-}\right) R^{\mu \nu a b}\left(\Omega_{-}\right)\right] . \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

With respect to [6] we have redefined the dilaton and the normalisation of $B_{\mu \nu}$ (see appendix A.1). In (2.4) $\tilde{H}$ contains the LCS term with $H$-torsion:

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{H}_{\mu \nu \rho} & =H_{\mu \nu \rho}-6 \alpha \mathcal{O}_{3 \mu \nu \rho}\left(\Omega_{-}\right),  \tag{2.6}\\
\mathcal{O}_{3 \mu \nu \rho}\left(\Omega_{-}\right) & =\Omega_{-[\mu}{ }^{a b} \partial_{\nu} \Omega_{-\rho]}{ }^{a b}-\frac{2}{3} \Omega_{-[\mu}{ }^{a b} \Omega_{-\nu}^{a c} \Omega_{-\rho]}{ }^{c b},  \tag{2.7}\\
\Omega_{-\mu}{ }^{a b} & =\omega_{\mu}^{a b}-\frac{1}{2} \widetilde{H}_{\mu}{ }^{a b} . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

The coefficient $\alpha$ is proportional to $\alpha^{\prime}$, note that the relative normalisation between the LCS term and the $R^{2}$ action is fixed.

To establish the equivalence between (2.1)-(2.2) and (2.4)-(2.5) we expand $R\left(\Omega_{-}\right)$ in (2.5), perform the required field redefinitions and fix the normalisations.

To start with, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\mu \nu}^{a b}\left(\Omega_{-}\right)=R_{\mu \nu}^{a b}(\omega)-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\mu} \widetilde{H}_{\nu}^{a b}-\mathcal{D}_{\nu} \widetilde{H}_{\mu}^{a b}\right)-\frac{1}{8}\left(\widetilde{H}_{\mu}^{a c} \widetilde{H}_{\nu}{ }^{c b}-\widetilde{H}_{\nu}^{a c} \widetilde{H}_{\mu}{ }^{c b}\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the derivatives $\mathcal{D}$ are covariant with respect to local Lorentz transformations. Clearly the substitution of (2.9) in (2.5) gives terms similar to those in (2.2), additional terms come

[^1]from expanding $\widetilde{H}$ (see appendix A.3) in (2.4). The effect of these substitutions is, to order $\alpha$ :
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{BR}}= & \frac{1}{2} e e^{-2 \Phi}\left[-R(\omega)-\frac{1}{12} \bar{H}_{\mu \nu \rho} \bar{H}^{\mu \nu \rho}+4 \partial_{\mu} \Phi \partial^{\mu} \Phi\right. \\
& +\alpha\left\{\frac{1}{2} H^{\mu \nu \rho} \partial_{\mu}\left(\omega_{\nu}{ }^{a b} H_{\rho}{ }^{a b}\right)-\frac{1}{2} R_{\mu \nu}^{a b}(\omega) H_{\rho}{ }^{a b} H^{\mu \nu \rho}+\frac{1}{4} H^{\mu \nu \rho} H_{\mu}{ }^{a b} \mathcal{D}_{\nu} H_{\rho}{ }^{a b}-\frac{1}{12} H^{4}\right\} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \alpha\left\{R_{\mu \nu}{ }^{a b}(\omega) R^{\mu \nu a b}(\omega)\right.  \tag{2.10}\\
& \quad-2 R^{\mu \nu a b}(\omega) \mathcal{D}_{\mu} H_{\nu a b}  \tag{2.11}\\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\mu} H_{\nu}{ }^{a b}-\mathcal{D}_{\nu} H_{\mu}{ }^{a b}\right) \mathcal{D}^{\mu} H^{\nu a b}  \tag{2.12}\\
& \quad-R_{\mu \nu}^{a b}(\omega) H^{\mu a c} H^{\nu c b}  \tag{2.13}\\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\mu} H_{\nu}{ }^{a b}-\mathcal{D}_{\nu} H_{\mu}^{a b}\right) H^{\mu a c} H^{\nu c b}  \tag{2.14}\\
& \left.+\frac{1}{8}\left(\left(H^{2}\right)_{a b}\left(H^{2}\right)^{a b}-H^{4}\right)\right\} \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

Here $\bar{H}$ contains the LCS term without $H$-torsion:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{H}_{\mu \nu \rho}=H_{\mu \nu \rho}-6 \alpha \mathcal{O}_{3 \mu \nu \rho}(w) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now rewrite the terms (2.10)-(2.15) in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{BR}}$, see appendix A.4 for details. The result, keeping only contributions to order $\alpha$, is

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{BR}}=\frac{1}{2} e e^{-2 \Phi}[- & R(\omega)-\frac{1}{12} \bar{H}_{\mu \nu \rho} \bar{H}^{\mu \nu \rho}+4 \partial_{\mu} \Phi \partial^{\mu} \Phi \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \alpha\left\{R_{\mu \nu}{ }^{a b}(\omega) R^{\mu \nu a b}(\omega)+\frac{1}{2} R_{\mu \nu}{ }^{a b}(\omega) H_{\rho}{ }^{a b} H^{\mu \nu \rho}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{8}\left(H^{2}\right)_{a b}\left(H^{2}\right)^{a b}+\frac{1}{24} H^{4}\right\}  \tag{2.17}\\
& -\frac{1}{2} \alpha\left\{R_{\mu}{ }^{c}(\omega) H^{\mu a b} H_{a b c}+e^{\mu}{ }_{c} e^{\nu}{ }_{d} \mathcal{D}_{\nu} H_{a b d} \mathcal{D}_{\mu} H_{a b c}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+2 \partial_{c} \Phi H_{a b d} \mathcal{D}_{d} H_{a b c}-2 \partial_{d} \Phi H_{a b d} \mathcal{D}_{c} H_{a b c}\right\}\right] . \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

The term proportional to the Ricci tensor in (2.18) then contributes through a field redefinition to the terms quartic in $H$, and gives an additional contribution involving derivatives of $\Phi$ (see (A.15)). Using (A.13) and partial integrations all remaining terms can be made to cancel.

The final result is then

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{BR}}= & \frac{1}{2} e e^{-2 \Phi}\left[-R(\omega)-\frac{1}{12} \bar{H}_{\mu \nu \rho} \bar{H}^{\mu \nu \rho}+4 \partial_{\mu} \Phi \partial^{\mu} \Phi\right.  \tag{2.19}\\
& \left.-\frac{1}{2} \alpha\left\{R_{\mu \nu}^{a b}(\omega) R^{\mu \nu a b}(\omega)+\frac{1}{2} R_{\mu \nu}^{a b}(\omega) H_{\rho}^{a b} H^{\mu \nu \rho}-\frac{1}{8}\left(H^{2}\right)_{a b}\left(H^{2}\right)^{a b}+\frac{1}{24} H^{4}\right\}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

in agreement with [3] if we set $R(\Gamma)=-R(\omega)$ and $\alpha=-\frac{1}{4} \alpha^{\prime}$, and adjust the overall normalisation. Of course [3] also includes the LCS term in $H^{2}$ for the heterotic string effective action, see the footnote in [3], page 400.

## 3. Higher orders and field redefinitions

In [6] it was shown that the effective action to order $\alpha^{2}$ consists of terms which are bilinear in the fermions (2.4)-(2.5). This is no longer true when the effective action at order $\alpha$ is in the form (2.19).

Since the steps to go from (2.4) $-(2.5)$ to (2.19) have all been explicitly determined, the effective action at order $\alpha^{2}$ can in principle be constructed. Let us identify the sources of bosonic $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{2}\right)$-terms that we have encountered:
(i) From the action (2.4) there are contributions outlined in appendix A.3. We should now expand $\widetilde{H}$ to order $\alpha^{2}$, which means that in $\mathcal{A}$ (A.17) also terms of order $\alpha$ should be considered. Then one should calculate $\widetilde{H}^{2}$.
(ii) $\bar{H}$ contains the LCS term of order $\alpha$. These should now also be kept in the higher order contributions.
(iii) In a number of places we have used the identity (A.19), the resulting $R^{2}$ terms contribute to order $\alpha^{2}$.
(iv) We have used field redefinitions to modify the effective action at order $\alpha$. A field redefinition is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{\mu}^{a} \rightarrow e_{\mu}^{a}+\alpha \Delta_{\mu}^{a} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is applied to the order $\alpha^{0}$ action. This has the effect of giving an extra contribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \Delta_{\mu}^{a} \mathcal{E}^{\mu}{ }_{a} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

to the action, where $\mathcal{E}^{\mu}{ }_{a}$ is the Einstein equation at order $\alpha^{0}$. Thus one can eliminate a term

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\alpha \Delta_{\mu}^{a} \mathcal{E}^{\mu}{ }_{a} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

at order $\alpha$. Contributions of order $\alpha^{2}$ arise because the transformation should also be applied to the order $\alpha$ action.

In this way the bosonic part of six-derivative terms in the effective action at order $\alpha^{2}$, corresponding to the order $\alpha$ action (2.2), can be obtained, including the complete dependence on $H$. It would be interesting to extend the calculation of black hole entropy of 7 to this sector.

At order $\alpha^{3}$ the situation is different. In [6] an invariant related to the supersymmetrisation of the LCS terms was constructed. The status of $R^{4}$ invariants was discussed in (9), with extensive reference to the earlier literature.

## 4. Conclusions

We have established the equivalence between the effective actions of [6] and [3] to order $\alpha$. This indicates that the result of [7] might indeed be a consequence of supersymmetry.

In principle the method of [8] can be extended to corrections with any number of derivatives. Supersymmetry provides the derivative contributions at order $\alpha^{\prime 2}$, at $\alpha^{\prime 3}$ only partial results are known. It would be interesting to extend the analysis of []] to include the next order.
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## A. Calculational details

## A. 1 Lagrangian density and redefinitions

In [6] the Lagrangian density takes on the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{R}=\frac{1}{2} e \phi^{-3}\left(-R(\omega)-\frac{3}{2} \widetilde{H}_{\mu \nu \rho} \widetilde{H}^{\mu \nu \rho}+9\left(\phi^{-1} \partial_{\mu} \phi\right)^{2}\right) \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the following definitions: ${ }^{3}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{H}_{\mu \nu \rho} & =\partial_{[\mu} B_{\nu \rho]}-\alpha \sqrt{2} \mathcal{O}_{3 \mu \nu \rho}\left(\Omega_{-}\right),  \tag{A.2}\\
\mathcal{O}_{3 \mu \nu \rho}\left(\Omega_{-}\right) & =\Omega_{-[\mu}^{a b} \partial_{\nu} \Omega_{-\rho]}^{a b}-\frac{2}{3} \Omega_{-\left[\mu^{a b} \Omega_{-\nu}^{a c} \Omega_{-\rho]} c b\right.}^{c b},  \tag{A.3}\\
\Omega_{-\mu}^{a b} & =\omega_{\mu}^{a b}-\frac{3}{2} \sqrt{2} \widetilde{H}_{\mu}^{a b} . \tag{A.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Antisymmetrisation brackets are with weight 1.
First we redefine the fields to obtain agreement with the conventions in [7]. The redefinitions are
(i) The dilaton: the change is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{-3} \rightarrow e^{-2 \Phi}, \quad\left(\phi^{-1} \partial \phi\right) \rightarrow \frac{2}{3} \partial \Phi \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) The 2- and 3 -form fields: we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{H} \rightarrow \frac{1}{3 \sqrt{2}} \widetilde{H}, \quad B \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} B \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^2]$\mathcal{L}_{R}$ now becomes
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{R}=\frac{1}{2} e e^{-2 \Phi}\left(-R(\omega)-\frac{1}{12} \widetilde{H}_{\mu \nu \rho} \widetilde{H}^{\mu \nu \rho}+4 \partial_{\mu} \Phi \partial^{\mu} \Phi\right) \tag{A.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

as in (2.4).
The spin connection $\omega(e)$ is the solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{\mu} e_{\nu}{ }^{a}-\mathcal{D}_{\nu} e_{\mu}^{a}=0, \quad \text { with } \quad \mathcal{D}_{\mu} e_{\nu}^{a} \equiv \partial_{\mu} e_{\nu}{ }^{a}-\omega_{\mu}^{a c} e_{\nu c} . \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Riemann tensor and related quantities are defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{\mu \nu}{ }^{a b}(\omega) & =\partial_{\mu} \omega_{\nu}{ }^{a b}-\partial_{\nu} \omega_{\mu}{ }^{a b}-\omega_{\mu}{ }^{a c} \omega_{\nu c}{ }^{b}+\omega_{\nu}{ }^{a c} \omega_{\mu c}{ }^{b},  \tag{A.9}\\
R_{\mu}{ }^{a}(\omega) & =e^{\nu}{ }_{b} R_{\mu \nu}{ }^{a b}(\omega),  \tag{A.10}\\
R(\omega) & =e^{\mu}{ }_{a} R_{\mu}{ }^{a}(\omega) . \tag{A.11}
\end{align*}
$$

## A. 2 Equations of motion

The equations of motion at order $\alpha^{\prime 0}$ are:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{S} & =e e^{-2 \Phi}\left\{R(\omega)-4 \mathcal{D}_{a} \partial^{a} \Phi+4\left(\partial_{a} \phi\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{12} H^{a b c} H_{a b c}\right\},  \tag{A.12}\\
\mathcal{B}^{\nu \rho} & =\frac{1}{4} \partial_{\mu}\left(e e^{-2 \Phi} H^{\mu \nu \rho}\right)=0,  \tag{A.13}\\
\mathcal{E}^{\lambda}{ }_{c} & =-\frac{1}{2} e^{\lambda}{ }_{c} \mathcal{S}+e e^{-2 \Phi}\left(R_{c}{ }^{\lambda}(\omega)+\frac{1}{4}\left(H^{2}\right)_{\lambda}{ }^{c}-2 e_{d}^{\lambda} \mathcal{D}_{c} \Phi \partial^{d} \Phi\right)=0 . \tag{A.14}
\end{align*}
$$

In the main text we use a field redefinition to eliminate a contribution proportional to the Ricci tensor. The required equation is, modulo $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\mu}{ }^{a}(\omega)=2 \mathcal{D}_{\mu} \partial^{a} \Phi-\frac{1}{4}\left(H^{2}\right)_{\mu}{ }^{a} . \tag{A.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

## A. 3 Expanding $\mathcal{L}_{R}$ in powers of $\alpha$

The 3 -form field $\widetilde{H}$ is defined recursively by (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8). We find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{H}_{\mu \nu \rho}=H_{\mu \nu \rho}-6 \alpha\left(\mathcal{O}_{3 \mu \nu \rho}(w)+\mathcal{A}_{\mu \nu \rho}\right)=\bar{H}_{\mu \nu \rho}-6 \alpha \mathcal{A}_{\mu \nu \rho} \tag{A.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{3 \mu \nu \rho}(w)$ is the gravitational contribution (order $\alpha^{0}$ ) of the Lorentz Chern-Simons term, and

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{A}_{\mu \nu \rho}= & \frac{1}{2} \partial_{[\mu}\left(\omega_{\nu}{ }^{a b} \widetilde{H}_{\rho]}{ }^{a b}\right)-\frac{1}{2} R_{[\mu \nu}{ }^{a b}(\omega) \widetilde{H}_{\rho]}^{a b}+\frac{1}{4} \widetilde{H}_{[\mu}{ }^{a b} \mathcal{D}_{\nu} \widetilde{H}_{\rho]}{ }^{a b} \\
& +\frac{1}{12} \widetilde{H}_{[\mu}^{a b} \widetilde{H}_{\nu}{ }^{a c} \widetilde{H}_{\rho]}{ }^{c b} . \tag{A.17}
\end{align*}
$$

To order $\alpha \mathcal{L}_{R}(\boxed{\text { A.7 }}$ ) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{L}_{R}=\frac{1}{2} e e^{-2 \Phi}\left[-R(\omega)-\frac{1}{12} \bar{H}_{\mu \nu \rho} \bar{H}^{\mu \nu \rho}+4 \partial_{\mu} \Phi \partial^{\mu} \Phi\right.  \tag{A.18}\\
&+\alpha\left\{\frac{1}{2} H^{\mu \nu \rho} \partial_{\mu}\left(\omega_{\nu}{ }^{a b} H_{\rho}{ }^{a b}\right)-\frac{1}{2} R_{\mu \nu}{ }^{a b}(\omega) H_{\rho}{ }^{a b} H^{\mu \nu \rho}\right. \\
&\left.\left.\quad+\frac{1}{4} H^{\mu \nu \rho} H_{\mu}{ }^{a b} \mathcal{D}_{\nu} H_{\rho}{ }^{a b}+\frac{1}{12} H^{\mu \nu \rho} H_{\mu}{ }^{a b} H_{\nu}{ }^{a c} H_{\rho}{ }^{c b}\right\}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

The term with the $H \partial(\omega H)$ is, after partial integration, proportional to (A.13) and can be eliminated by a field redefinition.

## A. 4 Simplification of $\mathcal{L}_{R^{2}}$ terms

We often use the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{[a}\left(\Omega_{-}\right) \widetilde{H}_{b c d]}=-\frac{3}{2} \alpha R_{[a b}^{e f}\left(\Omega_{-}\right) R_{c d]}^{e f}\left(\Omega_{-}\right), \tag{A.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

to isolate terms that are of higher order in $\alpha$. The term (2.13) can be simplified by using the cyclic identity for the Riemann tensor:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\mu \nu}^{a b}(\omega) H^{\mu a c} H^{\nu c b}=-\frac{1}{2} R_{\mu \nu}^{a b} H^{\mu \nu c} H^{a b c} . \tag{A.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we consider (2.14). Note that the two terms written in (2.14) are in fact the same. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\mu} H_{\nu}^{a b}-\mathcal{D}_{\nu} H_{\mu}^{a b}\right) H^{\mu a c} H^{\nu c b} & =-\mathcal{D}_{\mu} H_{\nu}^{a b} H^{\mu a c} H^{\nu b c} \\
& =-\mathcal{D}_{[e} H_{f a b]} H^{e a c} H^{f b c} \tag{A.21}
\end{align*}
$$

This term is completely of order $\alpha^{\prime 2}$. Finally we consider (2.12). This can be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} e e^{-2 \Phi}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\mu} H_{\nu}{ }^{a b}-\mathcal{D}_{\nu} H_{\mu}{ }^{a b}\right) \mathcal{D}^{\mu} H^{\nu a b}= & e e^{-2 \Phi}\left(2 R_{\mu \nu}{ }^{a b} H^{\mu a c} H^{\nu c b}+R_{\mu}{ }^{c} H^{\mu a b} H_{a b c}\right. \\
& +e^{\mu}{ }_{c} e^{\nu}{ }_{d} \mathcal{D}_{\nu} H_{a b d} \mathcal{D}_{\mu} H_{a b c}+2 \partial_{c} \Phi H_{a b d} \mathcal{D}_{d} H_{a b c} \\
& \left.-2 \partial_{d} \Phi H_{a b d} \mathcal{D}_{c} H_{a b c}+2 \mathcal{D}_{c} H_{a b d} \mathcal{D}_{[c} H_{a b d]}\right) . \tag{A.22}
\end{align*}
$$

The last term is of order $\alpha^{\prime 2}$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ For an extensive introduction to this field see (1).

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Throughout this paper we will only discuss the bosonic contributions to the effective action. Fermionic contributions can be found in [6].

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ In this letter we use the the notation and conventions of 6] and $\alpha$ is a free parameter proportional to $\alpha^{\prime}$, the inverse of the string tension.

